

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Kenneth Shapiro <shaptalk@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 6, 2018 8:07 AM
To: DCOZ - BZA Submissions (DCOZ)
Subject: Letter to the BZA regarding the Madison Project - Case 19705
Attachments: Letter to BZA regarding Madison Project.docx

I am a Resident/owner at the Lumen Condominiums, located directly across the street from the proposed Madison Project. I am in agreement with and fully endorse the positions set forth in the attached letter in opposition to the zoning variances being sought by the Developer. Please enforce the zoning regulations and deny all variance being sought by the Developer. Thank you.

Kenneth Shapiro
1405 W. St., NW
Washington, DC 20009
shaptalk@gmail.com

March 4, 2018

From: Concerned neighbors (See signature list below)

To: Board of Zoning Adjustment (BZA)

Re: **Comments in Opposition to BZA Case Number 19705.** The BZA should deny all relief requested by Madison.

I. Overview

The majority of individuals that live in the surrounding buildings and homes to the proposed Madison project, oppose the massive scale of the current proposal. This is reflected in the numerous letters drafted by concerned neighborhoods and submitted to the BZA opposing the Madison project as proposed.

We have five requests which we respectfully ask the BZA to consider and address:

- 1. All of the relief Madison is requesting should be denied in order to reduce the mass and scale of the project.**
- 2. The project should be stepped down, or reduced in height as the elevation decreases from W to V Streets, NW. Additionally, the project should include additional setbacks and step downs on both the west and east side of the project to conform with the Arts 3 zone and historic perspective of the area.**
- 3. All common areas for the proposed project, including all roof decks and the proposed outdoor space above the historic garage should be moved to the south alley side of the project to avoid impacts on the largest amount of residents in the neighborhood.**
- 4. The proposed number of parking spots for the building is ok, ONLY if Madison reduces the scale of the project.**
- 5. Parking for the proposed project should include a one way entrance accessible from both 15th Streets, NW and W Street, NW and a one way exit closer to V Street, NW.**

Title 11, Subtitle K § 813.2, authorizes the BZA to impose requirements pertaining to design, appearance, signs, size, landscaping, and other such requirements as it deems necessary to protect neighboring property and to achieve the purposes of the zone district. Thus the law enables the BZA to impose requirements on Madison to ensure the project conforms to our concerns outlined above.

Many of the individuals that have signed this letter are unable to attend the BZA meeting on March 7th because of work conflicts. As neighbors, who will be permanently impacted by the project, we ask that you give strong consideration to our concerns and not interpret our lack of attendance or inability to attend the BZA hearing on March 7th as a lack of

concern about this project. Below is additional information about each of the five requests outlined above. We ask the BZA to address these 5 issues at the hearing on March 7th.

II. The mass and scale of the project is inconsistent with the Arts 3 zoning requirements and historic perspective of the area

We ask that the project be limited to six floors total or 65 feet, to reduce density concerns in the neighborhood (urban density, traffic, construction impacts, and light impacts on neighboring properties). Reducing the scale of the building will enable the project to fit within the intent of the Arts 3 zone which encourage pedestrian scale buildings and an attractive combination of new and old buildings.

Title 11, Subtitle K, Section 803.3 requires two conditions to be met to exceed the 65 foot height limitation for projects that include inclusionary zoning (IZ) units. Buildings that include the appropriate number of IZ units can be built up to 75 feet provided two requirements are met: the penthouse can NOT exceed 83.5 feet **AND** NO PART of the building shall project above the plane drawn at a forty-five degree angle. Specifically, section 803.3 states:

“803.3. In the underlying ARTS-3 zone, a building may be constructed in excess of the height limit of sixty-five feet (65 ft.), up to a maximum height of seventy-five feet (75 ft.); **PROVIDED**:

(a) No penthouse permitted by this title shall exceed a height of eighty-three and one-half feet (83.5 ft.) above the measuring point used for the building; **AND**

(b) If a lot abuts either a R, RF, or RA zone or an alley that serves as the zone district boundary line of an adjacent R, RF, or RA zone, **no part** of the building shall project above a plane drawn at a forty-five degree (45°) angle from a line located fifty feet (50 ft.) directly above the property line that abuts the R, RF, or RA zone or the alley.”

A plain application of the law to the Madison project would mean as proposed, the project should be limited to 75 feet total since the project does not meet BOTH the height and 45 degree angle requirements. Thus granting relief to Madison on these requirements is at the direct expense of the intent of the regulations. Granting relief to 803.3(a) AND/OR 803.3(b) enables Madison to build a building above 75 feet. Thus not granting any of the requested relief will require Madison to revise the project to ensure the building conforms to the law, the intent of the regulations, and will reduce the scale of the project (a key community concern).

III. The project should be stepped down, or reduced in height as the elevation decreases from W to V Streets, NW. Additionally, the project should include additional setbacks and step downs on both the west and east side of the project to conform to the Arts 3 zone and historic perspective of the area.

The roof the project will be highly visible from both the south and north sides of the project. As proposed the roof is flat and monolithic which does not conform to the intent of the Arts 3 zoning intent and requirements. The flat, monolithic roof does not fit into the aesthetics of the neighborhood. We ask that the building include multiple step-downs as the building elevation decreases from W street to V streets, NW.

The Western facing façade of the building will also be highly visible from both the north and south sides of the project. Because 14th street decreases in elevation, gazing at the project from the south looking north, individuals will clearly see the roof line western façade. In order to preserve the historic intent of the block, we ask that the project include additional set backs on the western side to better preserve the historic nature of the various historic buildings associated with the project and to prevent a monolithic façade on the western side of the building.

We request that relief not be granted for the 45 degree angle requirement and that Madison revise the project to conform to the requirements in Title 11, Subtitle K, Section 803.3(b). Additionally, we do not believe Madison has acted in good faith in taking the measurements for the building to comply with the height and 45 degree angle height requirements as outlined in Title 11, Subtitle K, Section 803.3. Because Madison apparently has the discretion to choose where to take the measurements for the zoning requirements in Title 11, Subtitle K, Section 803.3, Madison has capitalized on taking the measurements at locations that enable Madison to maximize units at the expense of the intent of the Arts 3 requirements and the neighborhood.

- IV. All common areas for the proposed project, including all roof decks and the proposed outdoor space above the historic garage should be moved to the south alley side of the project to avoid impacts on the largest amount of residents in the neighborhood.**

The buildings on the western side of the project towards V Street are not residential buildings. Moving common spaces to this side of the building will limit potential noise and privacy issues for individuals that live across the street from the project on either W street or 14th streets.

- V. The proposed number of parking spots for the building is ok, ONLY if Madison reduces the scale of the project.**

Parking in the neighborhood is challenging and limited. Madison's proposal to include 65-70 parking spots is ok if the project is reduced to six floors total. If the project is not reduced in scale, the proposed 65-70 parking spots must be doubled.

- VI. Parking for the proposed project should include a one way entrance accessible from both 15th Streets, NW and W Street, NW and a one way exit closer to V Street, NW.**

